## 230 Cover Page

| Community: PIERCE COUNTY * | State: WA | CID: 530138 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Date of visit: 09/23/2014 | FIRM Effective Date: 08/19/1987 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Population: 819743 | Current FIRM Date: 08/04/1988 |
| County: Pierce | ISO/CRS Specialist: Marlene Jacobs |
| Manual Year: 2013 |  |


|  | Chief Executive Officer | CRS Coordinator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Pat McCarthy | Harold Smelt, PE |
| Title: | County Executive | Surface Water Management Manager |
| Address: | 930 Tacoma Avenue South, Room 737 | 2702 South 42nd Street, Ste 201 |
|  | Tacoma, WA 98402-2100 | Tacoma, WA 98409-7322 |
| Telephone: |  | (253) 798 2725 |
| E-mail: |  | hsmelt@co.pierce.wa.us |
| Fax: |  |  |

aSFHA $=$| 22684 |
| ---: |
| bSF $=$ |${ }^{2080}$

## Activity 310 (Elevation Certificates)

## 312 Elements

312.a EC

Verified Ratio = Number correct
30 / Number reviewed $30=$ $\qquad$
[Communities need $90 \%$ correct to stay in the CRS, but the score for EC is based on the actual verified ratio, which can be < 0.9.]
cEC $=38 \times$ Verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots 38$
312.b ECPO
$\mathrm{rECPO}=\mathrm{bECPO} \quad 1 / \mathrm{bPO} \quad 1=1$
Verified Ratio $=$ Number correct $\quad 0 \quad /$ Number reviewed $\quad 0 \quad=\quad 1$
$\mathrm{cECPO}=48 \times \mathrm{rECPO} \quad 1 \times$ Verified ratio $\quad 1=48$

## 312.c ECPR

$\mathrm{rECPR}=\mathrm{bECPR} \quad 0 \quad / \mathrm{bPR} \quad 0 \quad=$
Verified Ratio $=$ Number correct $\quad 0 /$ Number reviewed $\quad 0=1$
$\mathrm{cECPR}=48 \times \mathrm{rECPR}$ $\qquad$ $0 \times$ Verified ratio $\qquad$ $1=$ $\qquad$

## 313 Credit Calculation

$$
\text { c310 = cEC } 38+\text { cECPO } \quad 48+\text { cECPR } \quad 0 \quad=\frac{86}{}
$$

## Activity 310

## Comments

Pierce County WA applies their Floodplain Development Regulations both inside and outside of the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). Since the date of their last Cycle Verification Visit (01/14/2010), the County has collected a combined total of 51Elevation Certificates (ECs). Of that total 26 were inside the SFHA. The Specialist reviewed all 26 ECs and found them to be correct and complete.

ECPO credit is based on the fact that the County received credit during the last cycle and per the claim by the County that they have collected all ECs for this time period. ECPOs are stored in electronic file format and paper copies are retained in a file cabinet based on FIRM panels in the PALS office at the Pierce County Annex.

## Activity 320 (Map Information Services) <br> 322 Elements

## 322.a MI1



## 322.b MI2



## 322.c MI3



## 322.d MI4



## 322.e MI5



## 322.f MI6

cMI6 $=$ MI6 $0 \times$ Verified ratio $\quad 1=0$

## 322.g MI7

Verified Ratio = Number correct $\qquad$ 1 / Sample Size $\quad 1=\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \end{aligned}$ cMI7 $=$ MI7 $20 \times$ Verified ratio $\quad 1=120$

## 324 Credit Calculation

$\mathrm{c} 320=\mathrm{cMI} 1+\mathrm{cMI} 2+\mathrm{cMI} 3+\mathrm{cMI} 4+\mathrm{cMI} 5+\mathrm{cMI} 6+\mathrm{cMI7}=\mathrm{c} 320$
$\mathrm{c} 320=$
$30+\ldots 20+\ldots 20+\ldots 20+20$

## Activity 320

 CommentsPierce County WA provides the Map Information Services to the target audience (Banks, Insurance Companies and Realtors). The Specialist collected a mailing list for each of the 3 key groups. This activity is publicized annually and a copy of the Requisition for printing and confirmation of the costs can be found in the documentation. The County maintains a log as a record of service.

MI 2 credit is applied for coastal high hazard flood depth information provided in place of LiMWA's.
MI 3 credit is applied for the Deep \& Fast Flowing mapped area and the Channel Migration Zones.
MI 4 credit is applied based on the map showing flood depths of current structures after LOMA versus flood depth of proposed D FIRM.

MI 5 credit is based on the Lahar map.

MI 7 credit is based on the fish habitat and wetland map.

## Activity 330 (Outreach Projects)

## 332 Elements

332.a OP

Number of OPs: 21
$\mathrm{cOP}=\sum(\mathrm{OP} \quad 115+\mathrm{PPI}(\mathrm{OP}) \quad 38+\mathrm{STK}(\mathrm{OP}) \quad 1 \quad 154$

## 332.b FRP

Number of FRP projects: $\qquad$
$\mathrm{cFRP}=\Sigma(\mathrm{FRP} \quad 0+\mathrm{PPI}(\mathrm{FRP}) \quad 0 \quad)=\quad 0$

## 333 Credit Calculation

c330 = cOP $\quad 154+\mathrm{cFRP} \quad 0=\underline{154}$

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 330

## Comments

Pierce County completed the PPI process and French Wetmore reviewed the PPI. Outreach Project (OP) score is based on French Wetmore's rescoring of OPs.

## Activity 340 (Hazard Disclosure)

## 342 Elements

## 342.a DFH

DFH $=0$
342.b ODR
$\mathrm{ODR}=\underline{25}$
342.c REB

REB $=\quad 0$
342.d DOH
$\mathrm{DOH}=$ $\qquad$

## 343 Credit Calculation



## Activity 340

Comments
Initial Other Disclosure Requirements (ODR) credit is based on the Washington State Uniform Minimum Credit (UMC) worksheet found in activity 230. UMC credits are applied at 10 points for the Real Estate Sellers Disclosure Form which includes a statement about if the property has ever been flooded.
Additional ODR credit was applied for the following County requirements: Chapter 18E. 10 Critical Areas Flood Hazard Areas also requires that notice of critical areas be placed (1) on the title of the property, (2) within the Homeowners Covenants, and (3) on any construction plans.

Credit for Disclosure of Other Hazards was requested but no awarded due to the credit criteria which states that DFH credit is a prerequisite of DOH credit.

## Activity 350 (Flood Protection Information) <br> 352 Elements

## 352.a LIB

LIB $=\quad 3$
352.b LPD

LPD = $\qquad$
352.c WEB

WEB1:

| Topic | Points | Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Know your flood hazard | 3 | $0-6$ |
| Insure your property | 2 | $0-6$ |
| Protect people | 5 | $0-6$ |
| Protect property | 0 | $0-6$ |
| Build responsibly | 1 | $0-6$ |
| Protect natural functions | 0 | $0-6$ |
| Is there a creditable PPI? If yes, add the credits below. |  |  |
| PPI topic 7 | 0 | $0-6$ |
| PPI topic 8 | 0 | $0-6$ |
| PPI topic 9 | 0 | $0-6$ |
| PPI topic 10 | 0 | $0-6$ |
| WEB1 $=$ | 11 | $0-60$ |

WEB2 (warning, safety, evacuation info) $=\quad 10$
WEB3 (real-time gage info) $=\quad 0$
WEB4 (ECs on the website) $=\underline{0}$
WEB = WEB1 $\quad 11+$ WEB2 $\quad 10+$ WEB3 $\quad 0+W E B 4 \ldots \quad 0 \quad 21$

## 353 Credit Calculation

$$
\mathrm{c} 350=\mathrm{LIB} \quad 3+\mathrm{LPD} \quad 9+\text { WEB } \quad 21=
$$

## Activity 350

## Comments

Web search key word: flood, flooding, floodplain, floodplain information. Flood information was located with two to three steps.

Library - Locally Pertinent Documents:
4 different local Basin plans
Flood Hazard Managment Plan
Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
Staff Report for the EIS
Pierce County Comprehensive Plan
Pierce County flood control zone district Volume 1

## Activity 410 (Floodplain Mapping)

412 Elements
Map\#1
412.a NS\#1

Verified ratio $=$ Number with BFEs _o_ $/$ Number reviewed __ $\quad 0 \quad 1$ cNS\#1 = NS\#1 230 * Verified ratio $1=1230$

## 412.b LEV\#1

LEV\#1 $=$| Non-FEMA share of the study cost | 999 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total cost of the study | 999 |

## 412.c SR\#1

SR\#1 = $\quad 0$

## 412.d HSS\#1

| HSSa\#1 | factor of safety = | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSSb\#1 | better topo = | 60 |
| HSSc\#1 | future conditions = | 0 |
| HSSd\#1 | 500-year | 60 |
| HSSother\#1 | other = | 0 |
| HSS\#1 = | total of above = | 120 |

## 412.e FWS\#1

Floodway mapping standard = $\qquad$
FWS\#1 = $\qquad$
412.g CTP2\#1

CTP2\#1 = 1.18

## 413 Impact Adjustment

| rMAP\#1 = aMAP\#1 | 320 / aSFT\#1 | 22684 | 0.01 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAP\#1 $=$ ((cNS\#1 | 230 * LEV\#1 | 1 ) + SR\#1 | 0 + HSS\#1 | 120 |
| + FWS\#1 | 0 ) * rMAP\#1 | 0.01 * CTP2\# | 1.18 | 4.13 |

## 412.f MAPSH

MAPSH =50

## 412.g CTP1

CTP1 = 10

## 414 Credit Calculation

## Activity 410 (Floodplain Mapping) <br> 412 Elements

Map\#2
412.a NS\#2

Verified ratio $=$ Number with BFEs _o_ $/$ Number reviewed $\quad 0 \quad=\quad 1$ cNS\#2 = NS\#2 175 * Verified ratio $\quad 1=175$

## 412.b LEV\#2

LEV\#2 $=$| Non-FEMA share of the study cost | 999 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total cost of the study | 999 |

## 412.c SR\#2

SR\#2 = $\quad 0$

## 412.d HSS\#2

| HSSa\#2 | factor of safety $=$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| HSSb\#2 | better topo $=$ |
| HSSc\#2 | future conditions $=$ |
| HSSd\#2 | 500-year $=$ |
| HSSother\#2 | other $=$ |
| HSS\#2 $=$ | total of above $=$ |

## 412.e FWS\#2

Floodway mapping standard = $\qquad$
FWS\#2 = $\qquad$

## 412.g CTP2\#2

CTP2\#2 = $\qquad$
413 Impact Adiustment

| rMAP\#2 = aMAP\#2 | 1112 / aSFT\#2 | 22684 | 0.05 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAP\#2 $=$ ((cNS\#2 | 175 * LEV\#2 | 1 ) + SR\#2 | O + HSS\#2 | 60 |
| + FWS\#2 | 0 ) * rMAP\#2 | 0.05 * CTP2\# | 1 = | 11.75 |

## Activity 410 (Floodplain Mapping) <br> 412 Elements

Map\#3
412.a NS\#3

Verified ratio $=$ Number with BFEs _ $\quad$ _ $/$ Number reviewed $\quad 0 \quad=\quad 1$ cNS\#3 $=$ NS\#3 130 * Verified ratio 1 $=130$

## 412.b LEV\#3

LEV\#3 $=$| Non-FEMA share of the study cost | 999 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total cost of the study | 999 |

## 412.c SR\#3

SR\#3 = $\quad 0$

## 412.d HSS\#3

| HSSa\#3 | factor of safety | $=$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HSSb\#3 | better topo | $=$ |
| HSSc\#3 | future conditions | $=$ |
| HSSd\#3 | 500-year | $=$ |
| HSSother\#3 | other | $=$ |
| HSS\#3 $=$ | total of above | $=$ |
|  | 0 |  |
| H0 |  |  |

## 412.e FWS\#3

Floodway mapping standard = $\qquad$
FWS\#3 = $\qquad$

## 412.g CTP2\#3

CTP2\#3 = $\qquad$
413 Impact Adiustment

| rMAP\#3 = aMAP\#3 | 32664.96 / aSFT\#3 | $22684=$ | 1.44 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAP\#3 $=$ ((cNS\#3 | 130 * LEV\#3 | 1 ) + SR\#3 | 0 + HSS\#3 | 30 |
| + FWS\#3 | 0 ) *rMAP\#3 | 1.44 * CTP2\#3 | 1 = | 230.4 |

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 410

## Comments

Technical review for this activity was provided by Cristina Martinez on 04/16/15.

## Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation)

## 422 Elements

## 422.a OSP

AA. Acreage of 10 largest OSP sites $=$
AB. Acreage of the AA sites that pass the office review $=$

AF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $=$| 6825 |
| ---: | ---: | Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$



## 422.b DR

BA. Acreage of 10 largest DR sites =
554
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$
$\mathrm{BC} \quad 0$ * $\mathrm{BE} \quad 0 / \mathrm{BD} \quad 0$
$\mathrm{aDR}=\mathrm{BB} \quad 554+$ Verified acreage of remaining sites $\quad 554$
rDR $=\mathrm{aDR} \quad 554 / \mathrm{aSFHA} \quad 22684=\ldots 0.02$
$\mathrm{cDR}=50$ * rDR $0.02=\underline{1}$

## 422.c NFOS

## NFOS1 - Natural functions open space (basic)

CA. Acreage of 10 largest NFOS1 sites $=$
CB. Acreage of the CA sites that pass the office review $=$
$\qquad$

CF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $=$ Verified acreage of the remaining sites =

CD $\qquad$ 0 * CG $\qquad$ $0 / C E$ $\qquad$ $0=0$
aNFOS1 = CC $\quad 0 \quad+$ Verified acreage of remaining sites $\quad 0 \quad 0$ rNFOS1 = aNFOS1 $\quad 0 \quad /$ aSFHA $\quad 22684=$ cNFOS1 $=$ NFOS1 0 *rNFOS1 $0 \quad 0$

## NFOS2 - NFOS1 parcel in a natural functions plan

DA. Acreage of 10 largest NFOS2 sites $=$ $\qquad$
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$

| DC | 0 * DE | 0 / DD | 0 = | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aNFOS2 = DB |  | reage of | sites | 0 | 0 |

rNFOS2 $=$ aNFOS2 0 / aSFHA $22684=\ldots 0$

## Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation)

## 422 Elements

## NFOS3 - NFOS1 parcel has ESA habitat

EA. Acreage of 10 largest NFOS3 sites = $\qquad$
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$
EC $\qquad$ 0 / ED $\qquad$ 0 = $\qquad$
aNFOS3 = EB $\quad 0 \quad+$ Verified acreage of remaining sites $\quad 0 \quad 0$
rNFOS3 = aNFOS3 $\qquad$ 0 / aSFHA $\qquad$ $22684=0$ cNFOS3 $=$ NFOS3 $\quad 0$ *rNFOS3 0
= $\qquad$
$\qquad$

NFOS4 - NFOS1 parcel in a designated corridor
FA. Acreage of 10 largest NFOS4 sites =


Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$

rNFOS4 $=\mathrm{aNFOS} 4 \ldots 0$
cNFOS4 $=$ NFOS4 0 * $\quad 0$ NFOS4 $0 \quad 0$
NFOS5 - NFOS1 parcel has educational materials
GA. Acreage of 10 largest NFOS5 sites $=$
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$


## 422.d SHOS

GGA. Acreage of the largest SHOS sites =
GGB. Acreage of the GGA sites that pass the office review =
$\square$

GGF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $\qquad$
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$

$$
\text { GGD } \quad 0 \text { *GGG } \quad 0 / \text { GGE } \quad 0
$$

aSHOS = GGC $\quad 0 \quad+$ Verified acreage of remaining sites $\quad 0$
rSHOS $=\operatorname{aSHOS} \quad 0 /$ aSFHA $22684=$
cSHOS $=$ SHOS $\quad 0$ *rSHOS $\quad 0 \quad=$

## Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation)

422 Elements

## 422.e OSI

## OSI1 - Set aside all floodplain in a subdivision

HB.Number of the samples that pass the office review = $\qquad$

| rOSI1 $=\mathrm{aOSI} 1$ | 15992.22 | $/ \mathrm{aSFHA}$ | 22684 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=1$ |  |  |
| Verified ratio $=\mathrm{HC}$ | 1 |  |  |

cOSI1 $=$ OSI1 $\quad 248$ * rOSI1 $\quad 0.71$ * Verified ratio $\quad 1=\underline{176.08}$
OSI2 - Building sites must be on natural high ground

OSI3 - Building sites must be on natural high ground, to the extent possible
JB.Number of the samples that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$


## OSI4 - TDRs and density bonuses

KB.Number of the samples that pass the office review = $\qquad$ rOSI4 = aOSI4 $\quad 0 /$ aSFHA $22684=\square 0.1$
Verified ratio $=\mathrm{KC} \quad 0 / \mathrm{KA} \quad 0 \quad=$
$\operatorname{cOSI4}=\mathrm{OSI4} \quad 0$ * rOSI4 $0.1^{*}$ Verified ratio $\quad 1=\square$
OSI5 - Cluster development and PUDs


## Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation)

422 Elements
OSI6 - Tax incentives
MB.Number of the samples that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$
rOSI6 = aOSI6 $15878.8 /$ aSFHA $22684=10.7$

Verified ratio $=\mathrm{MC} \quad 0 / \mathrm{MA} \quad 0 \quad=\quad 1$
cOSI6 $=$ OSI6 25 * rOSI6 0.7 * Verified ratio $\quad 1=\underline{17.5}$
OSI7 - Land use plan
OSI7 = $\qquad$

## cOSI

cOSI =


## 422.f LZ

## First LZ District

LZs\#1 $=60$ *s\#1 $\quad 10=\underline{600}$
PA. Acreage of 10 largest LZs\#1 sites $=$
PB. Acreage of the PA sites that pass the office review $=$

PF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $=$| 3014 |
| ---: | ---: |

Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$



Second LZ District
LZs\#2 $=60$ *s\#2 $\quad 5=\underline{300}$
PA. Acreage of 10 largest LZs\#2 sites $=$
PB. Acreage of the PA sites that pass the office review $=\quad 24250$
PF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $=\quad 0$
Verified acreage of the remaining sites $=$


## Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation) <br> 422 Elements

Third LZ District
LZs\#3 $=60$ *s\#3 $10=\underline{600}$
PA. Acreage of 10 largest LZs\#3 sites $=$
PB. Acreage of the PA sites that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$
PF. Acreage of the sample sites that pass the office review $=$ 0
Verified acreage of the remaining sites =


## 422.g NSP

$$
\mathrm{rNSP}=\mathrm{aNSP} \quad 0 / \mathrm{aSL} \ldots 0{ }^{0}=
$$

$$
\text { Verified ratio }=\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Number of sites that passed the field check } & 0 \\
\text { Number of sites checked in the field } & 0
\end{array}
$$

## 423 Credit Calculation

c420 =


## Activity 420

Comments
During the Verification Visit the Specialist, the Consultant and County Staff conducted field work and viewed several Open Space Areas where RL structures have been removed. Additional OSP site visits included the South Hill Park and Wetlands, Storage Basin land along Clover Creek, NFOS land along Aqueduct Drive, the Revetment projects along 177th Street E and again near 197th Avenue E and further downstream at 188th Street E. In total, more than 10 OSP sites were viewed while conducting field work.

For rLZ\#2, Specialist recorded 24250 because the software requires that the combined rate of OSP and LZ not exceed 1.5. If OSP $=.30$ and $\mathrm{LZ1}=.13$ then $\mathrm{LZ2}$ must $=1.07$ to not exceed the allowed 1.5 impact adjustment. Actual areas for LZ 1 and LZ 2 (reported by the community) were aLZ(5ac) $=58,592$ \& $\operatorname{aLZ}(10)=3014$.

OSI technical review was provided by Sherry Harper. Since the calculation software has a glitch that will not allow the Specialist to enter credits for OSI $1,2,3 \& 4$, the technical reviewer suggested entering the calculation as shown in only OSI 1 which results in the same credit level that would occur under OSI 1, 2, \& 4 combined.

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards) <br> 432 Elements

432.a DL

DL1 - No fill
DL1a (No fill)
rDL1a\#1 = aDL1a\#1 $\quad 0 \quad$ / aSFHA $22684=$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of sites that passed }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \quad 0=1$
cDL1a\#1 = DL1a\#1 $\quad 0$ *rDL1a\#1 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$
DL1b (Compensatory storage)

| rDL1b\#1 = aDL1b\#1 | 77821 / aSFHA | 22684 | 1.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Verified ratio }=\frac{\text { Number of sites that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of citoc chorkad }}$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}=$ | 1 |
| cDL1b\#1 = DL1b\#1 | 130 * rDL1b\#1 | 1.5 * verified |  | 195 |

## DL2 - No buildings

rDL2\#1 = aDL2\#1 0 / aSFHA $22684=1$

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of sites that passed }}{\text { Number of sites checked }} \quad 0=$| 1 |
| :--- |

cDL2\#1 = DL2\#1 0 * rDL2\#1 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$

## DL3 - Storage of materials

DL3a - no outdoor storage

| rDL3a\#1 $=$ aDL3a\#1 | 0 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | / aSFHA | 22684 | $=$ |

cDL3a\#1 = DL3a\#1 $\quad 0$ * rDL3a\#1 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1=0$

DL3b - no storage of hazardous materials
rDL3b\#1 = aDL3b\#1 $\quad 0 \quad / \mathrm{aSFHA} \quad 22684=1$


DL3c - Indoor Storage
rDL3c\#1 = aDL3c\#1 $\quad 0 \quad / \mathrm{SSFHA} \quad 22684=10.1$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \quad 0=1$
cDL3c\#1 = DL3c\#1 $\quad 0$ *rDL3c\#1 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1=0$

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards)

## 432 Elements



## 432.b FRB

rFRB\#1 $=\mathrm{aFRB} \# 1$
77821 / aSFHA
$22684=$

Verified ratio $=$| Number of Certificates that passed the office review | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Certificates sampled | 5 |


cFDN\#1 = FDN\#1 $\quad 0$ * rFDN\#1 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1 \quad=\quad 0$

## cFDN

$\mathrm{cFDN}=\mathrm{cFDN} \# 1 \quad 0 \quad+\mathrm{cFDN} \# 2 \ldots 0$
432.d CSI
CSI1\#1 = 20 Count improvements cumulatively

CSI2\#1 = 0 Count repairs cumulatively
CSI3\#1 $=0$ ICC language
CSI4\#1 = 20 All additions must be protected


Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \quad \frac{5}{5}=\underline{1}$


## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards) <br> 432 Elements

432.e LSI
rLSI\#1 = aLSI\#1 $\qquad$ 0 /aSFHA $22684=$ $\qquad$

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}$


## 432.f PCF

## PCF1 (prohibition of critical facilities)

rPCF1\#1 = aPCF1\#1 $\qquad$ 0 /a500 $\qquad$ $0=0.1$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} 0=$ $\square$

```
cPCF1#1 = PCF1#1
```

$\qquad$

``` 0 * rPCF1\#1
``` \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
``` cPCF1
cPCF1 = cPCF1\#1 \(\quad 0 \quad+\) CPCF1\#2 00
```


## PCF2 (protection of critical facilities)

rPCF2\#1 $=$ aPCF2\#1 $0 / \mathrm{a} 000 \quad 0 \quad=\quad 0.1$
Number of permits that passed the office review = $\qquad$ 1

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}$
cPCF2\#1 = PCF2\#1 $\quad 0$ * rPCF2\#1 0.1 * verified ratio 0 cPCF2
cPCF2 $=$ cPCF2\#1 0 + cPCF2\#2 0
cPCF
cPCF $=$ cPCF1 0 O CPCF2 $\quad 0$

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards)

432 Elements
432.g ENL

ENL1,2 (no enclosures or small enclosures)
rENL1,2 \#1 = aENL1,2 \#1 $\qquad$
Verified ratio:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Number of ECs that passed } & 1+\text { Number of buildings passed field check } & 1 \\ \text { Number of ECs sampled } & 1+\text { Number of buildings field checked } & 1\end{array}$
cENL1,2 \#1 = ENL1,2 \#1 $\qquad$ 240 * rENL1,2 \#1 $\qquad$ $1=$ cENL1,2
cENL1,2 = cENL1,2 \#1 360 + cENL1,2 \#2 $\qquad$ 0 + ENL1,2 \#3 $\quad 0$ = 360

## ENL3 (nonconversion agreements)

rENL3\#1 $=\mathrm{aENL3} \mathrm{\# 1} \quad 0 /$ SSFHA $22684=10.1$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} 0=$ $\qquad$
cENL3\#1 = ENL3\#1 $\quad 0$ * rENL3\#1 $\quad 0.1$ * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$

## cENL3

cENL3 $=\mathrm{cENL} 3 \# 1 \ldots 0$
cENL
cENL $=$ cENL1, $2 \ldots 360$

## 432.h BC

BCEGS classification = $\qquad$
If the BCEGS classification number $=1$, then BC2 $=50$
If the BCEGS classification number $=2$, then $\mathrm{BC} 2=40$
If the BCEGS classification number $=3$, then BC2 $=30$
If the BCEGS classification number $=4$, then $B C 2=20$
If the BCEGS classification number $=5$, then BC2 $=10$
$\mathrm{cBC}=\mathrm{cBC} 1$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ 78

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards) <br> 432 Elements

## 432.i LDP

LDP = LDP1 $\qquad$ 0 + LDP2 $\qquad$ 40 + LDP3 $\qquad$ 0 + LDP4 $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Number of permits that passed the office review $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \frac{1}{1}=$ $\qquad$
cLDP = LDP 40 * verified ratio $\quad 1=\frac{40}{}$
432.j MHP

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \quad 0=1$
$\mathrm{cMHP}=\mathrm{MHP} \quad 0$ * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$

## 432.k CAZ\#1



Number of permits that passed the office review $\quad 1$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}=1$


## 432.k CAZ\#2

rCAZ\#2 $=$ aCAZ\#2 0 / aSFHA $\quad 22684=$

CAZ\#2 $=$ CAZ1\#2 $\quad 0 \quad+$ CAZ2\#2 $\quad 0 \quad 0$
Number of permits that passed the office review $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}$
cCAZ\#2 $=\mathrm{CAZ} \mathrm{\# 2} \quad 0$ * rCAZ\#2 0.1 * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$

## 432.k CAZ\#3



Number of permits that passed the office review $\qquad$ 0

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} 0=$ $\qquad$
cCAZ\#3 $=$ CAZ\#3 $\qquad$ 0 *rCAZ\#3 $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $1=0$ cCAZ

$$
\text { cCAZ }=\text { cCAZ\#1 } \quad 312.5+\text { cCAZ\#2 } \quad 0+c C A Z \# 3 \ldots \quad 0=312.5
$$

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards) <br> 432 Elements

## 432.I SHR\#1

rSHR\#1 $=$ aSHR\#1 999 / aSH $999 \quad 1$
Number of permits that passed the office review $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\begin{array}{ll}\text { Number of permits that passed the field check } & 0 \\ \text { Number of permits sampled } & 0\end{array}$ $\qquad$
cSHR\#1 = SHR\#1 $\qquad$ 1 * verified ratio
$1=$ $\qquad$ cSHR
cSHR $=\mathrm{cSHR} \# 1 \ldots 5$

## 432.m OHS\#1

rOHS\#1 = aOHS\#1 $22684 /$ aSFHA $22684=1$
Number of permits that passed the office review $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}$
cOHS\#1 $=\mathrm{OHS} \mathrm{\# 1} \quad 25$ * rOHS\#1 $\quad 1$ * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots$
cOHS


## 432.n SMS

| NS = | 0 | LZ = | 0 | $B C=$ | 45 | PUB $=$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSS = | 0 | NSP = | 0 | LDP = | 0 | LID = | 0 |
| FWS = | 0 | DL = | 0 | MHP = | 0 | WMP = | 185 |
| MAPSH = | 0 | FRB $=$ | 0 | $C A Z=$ | 0 | ESC = | 30 |
| OSP = | 0 | FDN = | 0 | SHR = | 0 | $\mathrm{WQ}=$ | 20 |
| DR = | 0 | $\mathrm{CSI}=$ | 0 | OHS = | 0 |  |  |
| NFOS = | 0 | LSI = | 0 | RA4 = | 0 |  |  |
| SHOS = | 0 | PCF = | 0 | SZ = | 0 |  |  |
| OSI = | 0 | ENL = | 0 | DS = | 0 |  |  |

Total of above $=$
280
cSMS = total 280 * $0.1=\quad 20$

## Activity 430 (Higher Regulatory Standards) <br> 432 Elements

432.0 RA

RA1 Staffing
RA1 $=\quad 25$
RA2 IAS accredation
$R A 2=$ $\qquad$
RA3 Inspections
Verified ratio $=\begin{array}{ll}\text { Number of Inspection Records that passed the office review } & 1 \\ \text { Number of Inspection Records sampled } & 1\end{array}$
cRA3 $=$ RA3 16 * verified ratio $1=16$

## RA4 Reinspections

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of Inspection Records that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of Inspection Records sampled }} \quad 0=1$

$$
\text { cRA4 }=\text { RA4 } \quad 0 \text { * verified ratio } \quad 1=\frac{0}{}
$$

## RA5 Off-site storage

RA5 $=\quad 5$
cRA


## 433 Credit Calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{c} 430=\mathrm{cDL} \quad 195+\mathrm{cFRB} \quad 165+\mathrm{cFDN} \ldots 0+\mathrm{cCSI} \quad 28+\mathrm{cLSI} \ldots \\
& +\mathrm{cPCF} \quad 0+\mathrm{cENL} \quad 360+\mathrm{cBC} \quad 78+\mathrm{cLDP} \quad 40+\mathrm{cMHP} \quad 0 \\
& +\mathrm{cCAZ} \quad 312.5+\mathrm{cSHR} \quad 65+\mathrm{cOHS} \quad 25+\mathrm{cSMS} \quad 20+\mathrm{cRA} \quad 46 \\
& =\quad 1335
\end{aligned}
$$

## Activity 430

## Comments

The County requires compensatory storage at a 1:1 ratio.
Freeboard (FRB) credit is awarded based on all new construction and substantial improvements being elevated to a minimum of 2 feet above the Base Flood Elevation. Specialist used the 2 foot FRB because it was the lowest common denominator.

Utilities are also required to be elevated to the height of the finished flood depending on foundation type. Elevation Certificates in activity 310 were used to validate the 2 foot FRB requirement.

Title 18.25 Definitions - includes language which describes the Protection of Storage Capacity.
BC credit includes the Fuel Gas Code as required by the State Building Code Council.
OHS credit is based on the Technical Review provided by Cristina Martinez.
CAZ credit is based on the Technical Review provided by Wes Shaw.

## Activity 440 (Flood Data Maintenance)

## 442 Elements

## 442.a AMD\#1

| AMD1\#1 | SFHA, corporate limits, streets, and lot boundaries | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMD2\#1: | buildings, building outlines, or building footprints | 26 |
| AMD3\#1: | floodways or coastal high hazard areas | 12 |
| AMD4\#1: | base flood elevations | 12 |
| AMD5\#1: | FIRM zone attributes | 10 |
| AMD6\#1: | 500-year floodplain elevations or boundaries | 10 |
| AMD7\#1: | other natural hazards | 12 |
| AMD8\#1: | topographic contour lines | 10 |
| AMD9\#1: | floodplain data in the tax assessmant data base | 0 |
| AMD10\#1: | old FIRMs | 0 |
| AMD11\#1: | other regulations | 8 |
| AMD12\#1: | natural floodplain functions | 14 |
| AMD13\#1: | building elevation data | 0 |
| AMD\#1 |  | 134 |
| rAMD\#1 $=\mathrm{a}$ | AMMD\#1 77821 / aSFHA 22684 | . 5 |



## 442.b FM

FM = $\qquad$

## 442.c BMM

BMM1 Benchmarks


## BMM2 CORS

$$
\text { rBMM2 = aBMM2 } 77821 / \text { aSFHA } 22684=1.5
$$

$$
\mathrm{cBMM} 2=\mathrm{BMM} 2 \ldots 27{ }^{*} \text { rBMM2 } \quad 1.5=\frac{40.5}{}
$$

$$
\text { cBMM }=\text { cBMM1 } \quad 0+\text { cBMM2 } \quad 40.5=\frac{40.5}{}
$$

## 442.d EDM

EDM = 0

## 443 Credit Calculation



PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 440

## Comments

The County uses digital maps when making decisions about development in the floodplain.
The County is not applying for FM credit at this time.
The County has provided a map for BMM showing they have 3 or more CORS stations within a 30 mile radius of their entire regulated floodplain.

## Activity 450 (Stormwater Management) <br> 452 Elements

452.a SMR\#1


Number of permits that passed the office review $=$ 5

452.b WMP\#1


## 452.c ESC

Number of permits that passed the office review $=$ $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }}$ cESC = ESC $\quad 30$ * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots 30$
452.d WQ

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of permits that passed the office review }}{\text { Number of permits sampled }} \quad \frac{5}{5}=\underline{1}$
$\mathrm{cWQ}=\mathrm{WQ} \quad 20$ * verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots 20$

## 453 Credit Calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cSMR }=\text { cSMR\#1 } \quad 294.5+\text { cSMR\#2 } \quad 0 \quad+\text { cSMR\#3 } \quad 0 \quad=\quad 294.5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Activity 450

 CommentsTechnical Review for SMR \& WMP was provided by Dave Carlton.
ESC credit is applied at 30 points because the County requires single family development to provide a grading and temporary erosion sediment control plan prior to issuance of a building permit.

ESC sites were visited while conducting field work and include a single family site at Vickor Road \& 457th Avenue E, a failing system at Brookfield Farms \#4 which was later enforced on and documented with photographs, a Habitat for Humanity building site at Golden Given Road E \& 109th Street E, a site at 133rd Street S \& A Street near an RL property, and the Walgreens site near A Street \& 121st Street E. Additional ESC and WQ sites were viewed while traveling with County Staff.

## REPETITIVE LOSS

## Section 501 (Repetitive Loss List)

| Repetitive Loss Category: | C | [A, B or C] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Properties on the Community's Repetitive Loss List: | 40 |  |
| Number of Properties that have been mitigated: | 11 |  |
| Number of unmitigated Properties remaining: | 29 |  |
| Number of Repetitive Loss Areas: | 4 |  |
| Number of Properties in the Repetitive Loss Areas (bRLA): | 449 |  |

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 500

## Comments

Pierce County is a Category C RL community with 29 RL structures as of 2013.

## Activity 510 (Floodplain Management Planning) <br> 512 Elements

## 512.a FMP

| Step 1: Orga |  | 13 | Step |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step 2: Involv | public | 71 | Step 7: |
| Step 3: Coord |  | 5 | Step 8: |
| Step 4: Asse | e hazard | 30 | Step |
| Step 5: Asse | e problem | 47 | Step |
| FMP = total fo | 10 steps = | 252 |  |
| cFMP = FMP | 252 * rFMP | $1=$ | 252 |

## 512.b RLAA

## First Occurence

| rRLAA $=\mathrm{bAA}$ | 0 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=\mathrm{RLAA}$ | 0 | $*$ rRLAA | 449 |

Second Occurence


Third Occurence

cRLAA $=$ cRLAA1 $\quad 0+c R L A A 2 \ldots 0+c R L A A 3 \ldots 0$
512.c NFP
cNFP $=$ NFP1 $0+$ NFP2 0

## 513 Credit Calculation

c510 $=$ cFMP $252+$ cRLAA $\quad 0 \quad+\mathrm{cNFP} \quad 0 \quad 252$

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 510

Comments
Technical Review of the Pierce County Rivers Flood Hazard Management Plan dated February 19, 2013 was reviewed and scored by Sherry Harper.

## Activity 520 (Acqusition and Relocation)

## 522 Elements

## 522.a bAR

Number of properties that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\begin{array}{ll}\text { Number of properties that passed the field check } & 5 \\ \text { Number of properties sampled } & 5\end{array}$
$\qquad$
522.b bRL

Number of properties that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of properties that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of properties sampled }} \frac{5}{5}=\underline{1}$
cbRL=bRL $\quad 23$ * Verified ratio $\quad 1=\ldots 23$
522.c bSRL

Number of properties that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$ 1

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of properties that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of properties sampled }} 1$ cbSRL=bSRL $\quad 1$ *Verified ratio $\quad 1=1$

## 522.d bCF

Number of properties that pass the office review = $\qquad$ 0

Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of properties that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of properties sampled }} \quad 0$ cbCF $=\mathrm{bCF} \quad 0$ * Verified ratio $1=0$

## 522.e bVZ

Number of properties that pass the office review $=$ $\qquad$
Verified ratio $=\frac{\text { Number of properties that passed the field check }}{\text { Number of properties sampled }} \quad 0=1$ cbVZ= bVZ $\quad 0$ * Verified ratio $1=0$

## Activity 520 (Acquisition and Relocation)

## 523 Credit Calculation

## 523.a Option 1

c520 $=(\mathrm{cbAR}$ $\qquad$ * 3$)+(\mathrm{cbRL}$ $\qquad$ * 6) $+(\mathrm{cbSRL}$ $\qquad$ * 9) (cbCF $\qquad$ * 6$)+(c b V Z$ $\qquad$ * 4.5) = $\qquad$

## 523.b Option 2

Step $1=$


Step 2
bARSF $=0$
Step $2=(($ bARSF $\quad 0 * 100) /(b S F \longrightarrow 2080+b A R S F \quad 0)-30 * 5=$
c520 = Step $1 \ldots 304+$ Step $2 \ldots 304$

## Activity 520

## Comments

Based on the spreadsheet provided by the community, Specialist determined the community has the following number of Acquired or Relocated buildings: bAR = 346 bRL=23 bSRL=1 (for a total of 370).

For all of the structures identified, no FMA funding was used to acquire or relocate buildings from the SFHA or the Regulatory Floodplain.

## Activity 530 (Flood Protection) <br> 532 Elements

## 532.a Techniques used

Number of buildings protected by each technique used

| TUE Elevation | $=$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| TUD Dry floodproofing | $=$ | 0 |
| TUW Wet floodproofing | $=$ | 0 |
| TUS sewer backup | $=$12278 |  |
| TUB Barrier, levee or floodwall | $=$0 <br> TUC Channel modifications, etc. | $=\frac{0}{0}$ |
| TUF Storage facilities | $=$ |  |

$$
\text { Number of buildings by technique }=\ldots 12278
$$

## 533 Credit Calculation

## 533.a Option 1

Total number of buildings that qualify (from Excel spreadsheet) $=\ldots 254$ c530 $=2.4$ * Total number of buildings that qualify $\quad 254=$

If total number of buildings that qualify $>67$, the Option 1 score is the max of 160.

## 533.b Option 2

Total protected building score ( $\Sigma$ PB) (from Excel spreadsheet) = $\qquad$
c530 $=16{ }^{*} \Sigma \mathrm{~PB} \quad$ * $100 / \mathrm{bSF} \quad{ }^{\circ}$

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 530

## Comments

TUS calculations are based on ( $268 \times 0.2$ ) structures located in the SFHA and $(12,010 \times 0.1)$ structures located in the Regulatory Floodplain. Regulatory Floodplain credits are capped at 200 points. Option 1 is used because it results in higher credit. Floodplain Development Regulations outside of the SFHA are documented in activity 430.

## Activity 610 (Flood Warning and Response) <br> 612 Elements

612.a FTR\#1

612.b EWD\#1


## 612.d CFP

```
CFP1 =25
```

CFP2 =

$\qquad$

CFP = $\qquad$

## 612.e SRC

SRC = $\qquad$
612.f TRC

TRC = $\qquad$

## 614 Credit Calculation

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 610

## Comments

Current calculations are based on full coverage of the 2080 structures in the RF.

## Activity 630 (Dams) <br> 632 Elements

632.a SDS

| SDS1 = | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| SDS2 $=$ | 15 |
| SDS3 = | 15 |

$$
\text { SDS }=\text { SDS1 } 15+\text { SDS2 } \quad 15+\text { SDS3 } \quad 15=
$$

632.b DFR\#1


## 632.c DFW\#1


632.d DFO\#1


## 632.e DCF

DCF1 $=$| 0 |
| :--- |
| DCF2 $=$ |
| DCF $=$ |
| 0 |

## 634 Credit Calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cDFW }=\text { cDFW\#1 } \quad 0+\text { cDFW\#2 } \quad 0+\text { cDFW\#3 } 0 \\
& \text { cDFO }=\text { cDFO\#1 } \quad 0+\text { cDFO\#2 } 0 \quad 0 \quad \text { cDFO\#3 } 0 \\
& \text { c630 }=\text { SDS } \begin{array}{l}
45 \\
+ \text { cDFR } \frac{0}{}+\text { CDFW } \quad 0 \\
\\
+ \text { cDFO } \quad 0 \\
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## Activity 630

## Comments

State Dam Safety credit is based on the Washington State UMC sheet attached in activity 230. The community has identified several high-hazard potential dams, provided the inundation maps and the Emergency Action Plans contain the flood threat descriptions.

Community :
530138
PIERCE COUNTY, WA

## 720 COMMUNITY CREDIT CALCULATIONS

## Calculation Section:

| Verified Activity Calculations: |  |  |  | Credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c310 | $86=$ |  |  | 86 |
| c320 | $90=$ |  |  | 90 |
| c330 | 154 = |  |  | 154 |
| c340 | $25=$ |  |  | 25 |
| c350 | $33=$ |  |  | 33 |
| c360 | 0 = |  |  | 0 |
| c370 | 0 $=$ |  |  | 0 |
| c410 | $306 \times$ CGA | 1.07 = |  | 327 |
| c420 | $1056 \times$ CGA | 1.07 = |  | 1130 |
| c430 | $1335 \times$ CGA | 1.07 = |  | 1428 |
| c440 | $242 \times$ CGA | 1.07 = |  | 259 |
| c450 | $568 \times$ CGA | 1.07 = |  | 608 |
| c510 | $252=$ |  |  | 252 |
| c520 | $304=$ |  |  | 304 |
| c530 | 160 |  |  | 160 |
| c540 | 0 = |  |  | 0 |
| c610 | $235=$ |  |  | 235 |
| c620 | 0 = |  |  | 0 |
| c630 | $45=$ |  |  | 45 |
|  | al of above |  | $\mathrm{cT}=$ | 5136 |
|  | nity Classific | (from | Class = | 2 |

CEO Name / Address
Pat McCarthy

| County Executive |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 930 Tacoma Avenue South, Room 737 |  |
| Tacoma, WA 98402-2100 |  |

CRS Coordinator Name / Address
Harold Smelt, PE
Surface Water Management Manager
2702 South 42nd Street, Ste 201
Tacoma, WA 98409-7322
(253) 7982725

Fax:

Date Report Prepared: $\qquad$

